

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

DANIEL J. AUDETT,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
JOSEPH LEHMAN,  
Defendant.

Case No. C04-5546FDB  
**ORDER REGARDING  
MOTIONS**

This matter comes before the court on plaintiff's filing of a second motion for extension of time to respond (Dkt. #23) to defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings (Dkt. #11), and the parties stipulated motion to stay the pretrial deadlines in this case (Dkt. #28).

Plaintiff response to defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings was due on March 14, 2005. (Dkt. #20). Plaintiff's counsel states that he was in the process of packing his office and made a mistake in calendaring defendant's motion. Plaintiff filed his motion and response on March 21, 2005. (Dkt. #22). Because plaintiff filed his response only one week late, and because defendant has had the opportunity and has replied to, the issues raised in the response (Dkt. #27), plaintiff's second motion for extension of time to respond (Dkt. #23) is hereby GRANTED.

The parties also have filed a stipulated motion to stay the pretrial deadlines in this case, pending the

1 court's ruling on defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings. A report and recommendation  
2 regarding that motion has been issued. See Report and Recommendation, dated the same date herewith.  
3 Accordingly, the parties stipulated motion (Dkt. #28) is hereby GRANTED, and all pretrial deadlines in  
4 this case are STAYED pending the court's final ruling on the report and recommendation.

5 The clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to plaintiff's counsel and defendant's counsel.

6 DATED this 3rd day of June, 2005.

7  
8  
9   
10 Karen L. Strombom  
11 United States Magistrate Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28